# **Comprehensive Evaluation of IEC Measurement Procedures for Bifacial Solar Cells and Modules**



Michael Rauer, Alexandra Schmid, Fan Guo, Frank Neuberger, Paul Gebhardt, Jochen Hohl-Ebinger

CalLab PV Cells & CalLab PV Modules Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems ISE

37<sup>th</sup> EU PVSEC September 09, 2020







#### **Measurement of Bifacial Silicon Solar Cells and Modules Motivation**

Bifacial solar cells and modules become more and more important



[1] ITRPV, 11th Edition (2020).



#### Measurement of Bifacial Silicon Solar Cells and Modules **Motivation**

- Bifacial solar cells and modules become more and more important
- Strongest hindrance for market introduction<sup>[2]</sup>: Missing standardized characterization of bifacial performance
- $\rightarrow$  IEC technical specification (TS) 60904-1-2<sup>[3]</sup>
  - Comparability to monofacial devices
  - Comparability among bifacial devices
- $\rightarrow$  Two different methods for indoor measurement of bifacial devices proposed





[1] ITRPV, 11th Edition (2020). [2] R. Kopecek, Photovoltaics International 26 (2014): 32. [3] IEC 60904-1-2, Technical Specification, 2019.



## AGENDA

- Introduction to IEC TS 60904-1-2
- Amendment Proposal 1
  - Motivation and Derivation
  - Evaluation: Partial Rear Shading
- Amendment Proposal 2
  - Motivation and Derivation
  - Evaluation: Low-Light Conditions
- Summary



# AGENDA

#### Introduction to IEC TS 60904-1-2

- Amendment Proposal 1
  - Motivation and Derivation
  - Evaluation: Partial Rear Shading
- Amendment Proposal 2
  - Motivation and Derivation
  - Evaluation: Low-Light Conditions
- Summary



Measurement at Standard Testing Conditions (1000 W/m<sup>2</sup>, 25°C, AM1.5g) from front

- Short-circuit currents I<sub>sc,front</sub>
- Maximum power P<sub>mpp,front</sub>





Measurement at Standard Testing Conditions (1000 W/m<sup>2</sup>, 25°C, AM1.5g) from front and rear

- Short-circuit currents  $I_{sc,front}^{STC}$ ,  $I_{sc,rear}^{STC}$
- Maximum power  $P_{mpp,front}^{STC}$ ,  $P_{mpp,rear}^{STC}$





Measurement at **Standard Testing Conditions** (1000 W/m<sup>2</sup>, 25°C, AM1.5g) from front and rear

Short-circuit currents I<sup>STC</sup><sub>sc,front</sub>, I<sup>STC</sup><sub>sc,rear</sub>
 Maximum power P<sup>STC</sup><sub>mpp,front</sub>, P<sup>STC</sup><sub>mpp,rear</sub>

#### → Bifaciality coefficients: <sup>[3]</sup>





Measurement at Standard Testing Conditions (1000 W/m<sup>2</sup>, 25°C, AM1.5g) from front and rear

Short-circuit currents  $I_{sc,front}^{STC}$ ,  $I_{sc,rear}^{STC}$ Maximum power  $P_{mpp,front}^{STC}$ ,  $P_{mpp,rear}^{STC}$ 

#### $\rightarrow$ Bifaciality coefficients: <sup>[3]</sup>



Two different methods for indoor measurements <sup>[3]</sup>

**Both-sided illumination** (Bifacial method):

Front irradiance:  $G_{\text{front}} = 1000 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{rear} = 100$  to 200 Wm<sup>-2</sup>





Two different methods for indoor measurements <sup>[3]</sup>

**Both-sided illumination** (Bifacial method):

Front irradiance:  $G_{\text{front}} = 1000 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{rear} = 100$  to 200 Wm<sup>-2</sup>







Two different methods for indoor measurements

**Both-sided illumination** (Bifacial method):

Front irradiance:  $G_{\text{front}} = 1000 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{rear} = 100$  to 200 Wm<sup>-2</sup>

Single-sided illumination (equivalent irradiance  $(G_F)$  method):

Front irradiance:  $G_F = 1000 \text{ Wm}^{-2} + \phi \cdot G_{rear}$ 

Measurement of  $P_{mpp}$  as function of  $G_{rear}$ additional to STC







Two different methods for indoor measurements

Module A **Both-sided illumination** Maximum power P<sub>mpp</sub> [W] (Bifacial method): Front irradiance:  $G_{\text{front}} = 1000 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{rear} = 100$  to 200 Wm<sup>-2</sup> Single-sided illumination (equivalent irradiance  $(G_F)$  method): 300 Front irradiance:  $G_{\rm E} = 1000 \, {\rm Wm^{-2}} + \phi \left(G_{\rm rear}\right)$ 50 100 150 200 0 Measurement of  $P_{mpp}$  as function of  $G_{rear}$ Rear irradiance [W/m<sup>2</sup>] additional to STC

360



© Fraunhofer ISE FHG-SK: ISE-INTERNAL

### IEC Technical Specification 60904-1-2 Standardized Evaluation

Standardized parameters to quantify bifacial performance

BiFi: Measure for power gain by additional rear irradiance in W/(W/m<sup>2</sup>)





### **IEC Technical Specification 60904-1-2 Standardized Evaluation**

Standardized parameters to quantify bifacial performance

- **BiFi:** Measure for power gain by additional rear irradiance in W/(W/m<sup>2</sup>)
- **P**<sub>mppBiFi10%</sub>: Interpolated power at standardized rear irradiance of 100 W/m<sup>2</sup>
- **P**<sub>mppBiFi20%</sub>: Interpolated power at standardized rear irradiance of 200 W/m<sup>2</sup>





Methods applicable in similar way:

"The same approach may be applied to assess the low-light behaviour of bifacial PV devices" [3]



Methods applicable in similar way:

"The same approach may be applied to assess the low-light behaviour of bifacial PV devices" [3]

Both-sided illumination (Bifacial method):

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Front irradiance: } G_{\rm front} \leq 1000 \ \mbox{W/m}^2 \\ \mbox{Rear irradiance: } G_{\rm rear} = 0.1 \cdot G_{\rm front} \ \mbox{to} \ \ 0.2 \cdot G_{\rm front} \\ \end{array}$ 

Front irradiance: 
$$G_E = G_{front} + \bigoplus G_{rear}$$
  
 $\min(\bigoplus_{lsc}^{STC}, \bigoplus_{Pmpp}^{STC})$   
No other bifaciality  
coefficients specified  
in 60904-1-2



Methods applicable in similar way:

"The same approach may be applied to assess the low-light behaviour of bifacial PV devices" [3]

Both-sided illumination (Bifacial method):

Front irradiance:  $G_{\text{front}} \leq 1000 \text{ W/m}^2$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{\text{rear}} = 0.1 \cdot G_{\text{front}}$  to  $0.2 \cdot G_{\text{front}}$ 

Front irradiance: 
$$G_E = G_{front} + \bigoplus G_{rear}$$
  
 $min(\bigoplus_{lsc}^{STC}, \bigoplus_{Pmpp}^{STC})$ 





Methods applicable in similar way:

"The same approach may be applied to assess the low-light behaviour of bifacial PV devices" [3]

Both-sided illumination (Bifacial method):

Front irradiance:  $G_{\text{front}} \leq 1000 \text{ W/m}^2$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{\text{rear}} = 0.1 \cdot G_{\text{front}}$  to  $0.2 \cdot G_{\text{front}}$ 

Front irradiance: 
$$G_E = G_{front} + \bigoplus G_{rear}$$
  
 $min(\bigoplus_{lsc}^{STC}, \bigoplus_{Pmpp}^{STC})$ 





Methods applicable in similar way:

"The same approach may be applied to assess the low-light behaviour of bifacial PV devices" [3]

Both-sided illumination (Bifacial method):

Front irradiance:  $G_{\text{front}} \leq 1000 \text{ W/m}^2$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{\text{rear}} = 0.1 \cdot G_{\text{front}}$  to  $0.2 \cdot G_{\text{front}}$ 

Front irradiance: 
$$G_E = G_{front} + \bigoplus G_{rear}$$
  
 $min(\bigoplus_{lsc}^{STC}, \bigoplus_{Pmpp}^{STC})$ 





# AGENDA

- Introduction to IEC TS 60904-1-2
- Amendment Proposal 1
  - Motivation and Derivation
  - Evaluation: Partial Rear Shading
- Amendment Proposal 2
  - Motivation and Derivation
  - Evaluation: Low-Light Conditions
- Summary



Module A



Optimized for bifacial application:

- Flat junction box
- Slim module frame
- Only slight partial rear shading

Non-optimized for bifacial application:

Overlapping junction box

Wide module frame

Module

Rear side

→ Significant rear shading



Module A: Optimized for bifacial applications

No conspicuous features in I-V curves





Module A: Optimized for bifacial applications

- No conspicuous features in I-V curves
- Bifaciality coefficients approximately similar





Module B: With partial rear shading

Kinks in rear *I-V* curve due to bypassing of strings by bypass diodes





Module B: With partial rear shading

- Kinks in rear I-V curve due to bypassing of strings by bypass diodes
- Large difference in bifaciality factors  $\phi_{lsc}$  and  $\phi_{Pmpp}$  as input parameters for  $G_E$
- → To counter this issue, minimum criterion has originally been introduced to IEC TS 60904-1-2

$$\phi = \min(\phi_{lsc}, \phi_{Pmpp})$$





### Amendment Proposal 1 Omission of Minimum Criterion

#### Amendment Proposal (1): Omission of minimum criterion

For all bifacial cells and modules:

 $\phi = \phi_{lsc}$ 

Physically more meaningful weight for rear irradiance:

 $I_{\rm sc}$  = const  $\cdot$  *G* for linear solar cells





## AGENDA

- Introduction to IEC TS 60904-1-2
- Amendment Proposal 1
  - Motivation and Derivation
  - Evaluation: Partial Rear Shading
- Amendment Proposal 2
  - Motivation and Derivation
  - Evaluation: Low-Light Conditions
- Summary



- *I-V* measurement of modules A and B with bifacial method and  $G_{F}$  method using two-mirror setup\*
- Measurement of  $P_{mpp}$  as function of  $G_{rear}$



\*Further details: A. Schmid, 32<sup>nd</sup> EUPVSEC, 2016.



- I-V measurement of modules A and B with bifacial method and  $G_{\rm F}$  method using two-mirror setup\*
- Measurement of  $P_{mpp}$  as function of  $G_{rear}$
- as input for  $G_F$  method:

**IEC TS 60904-1-2:**  $\phi = \min(\phi_{lsc}^{STC}, \phi_{Pmpp}^{STC})$ This study:  $\phi = \phi_{lsc}^{G_{front}}$ 



\*Further details: A. Schmid, 32<sup>nd</sup> EUPVSEC, 2016.



Module A: Optimized for bifacial applications

Both-sided illumination (*Bifacial method*): Front irradiance:  $G_{front} = 1000 \text{ W/m}^2$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{rear} = 100 \text{ to } 300 \text{ W/m}^2$ 





Module A: Optimized for bifacial applications

Both-sided illumination (*Bifacial method*): Front irradiance:  $G_{front} = 1000 \text{ W/m}^2$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{rear} = 100 \text{ to } 300 \text{ W/m}^2$ 





**Module A:** Optimized for bifacial applications

- **Both-sided illumination** (*Bifacial method*): Front irradiance:  $G_{\text{front}} = 1000 \text{ W/m}^2$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{rear} = 100$  to  $300 \text{ W/m}^2$
- **Single-sided illumination** ( $G_F$  method):

This study: φ<sub>ι</sub>







Module A: Optimized for bifacial applications

- Both-sided illumination (*Bifacial method*): Front irradiance:  $G_{front} = 1000 \text{ W/m}^2$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{rear} = 100 \text{ to } 300 \text{ W/m}^2$
- Single-sided illumination (G<sub>E</sub> method):

Front irradiance:  $G_{E} = G_{front} + \phi \cdot G_{rear}$ 

→ Good accordance between both methods for approaches of IEC TS 60904-1-2 and this study





Module B: With partial rear shading

**Both-sided illumination** (*Bifacial method*): Front irradiance:  $G_{\text{front}} = 1000 \text{ W/m}^2$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{rear} = 100$  to  $300 \text{ W/m}^2$ 





Module B: With partial rear shading

- Both-sided illumination (*Bifacial method*): Front irradiance:  $G_{front} = 1000 \text{ W/m}^2$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{rear} = 100 \text{ to } 300 \text{ W/m}^2$
- Single-sided illumination (G<sub>E</sub> method):

Front irradiance:  $G_E = G_{front} + \bigoplus G_{rear}$ IEC TS:  $\bigoplus_{Pmpp}$ This study:  $\bigoplus_{Isc}$ 





Module B: With partial rear shading

- Both-sided illumination (*Bifacial method*): Front irradiance:  $G_{front} = 1000 \text{ W/m}^2$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{rear} = 100 \text{ to } 300 \text{ W/m}^2$
- Single-sided illumination (G<sub>E</sub> method):

Front irradiance:  $G_{E} = G_{front} + \phi \cdot G_{rear}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  <u>IEC TS 60904-1-2</u>:  $G_{\rm E}$  and  $P_{\rm mpp}$  underestimated





Module B: With partial rear shading

- Both-sided illumination (*Bifacial method*): Front irradiance:  $G_{front} = 1000 \text{ W/m}^2$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{rear} = 100 \text{ to } 300 \text{ W/m}^2$
- Single-sided illumination ( $G_E$  method): Front irradiance:  $G_E = G_{front} + \phi \cdot G_{rear}$
- → <u>IEC TS 60904-1-2</u>:  $G_E$  and  $P_{mpp}$  underestimated → <u>This study</u>: Agreement significantly improved





**Module B:** With partial rear shading

- **Both-sided illumination** (*Bifacial method*): Front irradiance:  $G_{\text{front}} = 1000 \text{ W/m}^2$ Rear irradiance:  $G_{rear} = 100$  to  $300 \text{ W/m}^2$
- **Single-sided illumination** ( $G_F$  method):

Front irradiance:  $G_{\rm E} = G_{\rm front} + \phi \cdot G_{\rm rear}$ 

#### More systematic investigation of impact of partial rear shading





- Two different modules with different φ<sub>lsc</sub>
  - 84 % (Module C)
  - 56 % (Module D)
- Coverage of rear by black opaque carton
  - One solar cell in string already affected by built-in shading
- Systematic variation of shading percentage
  - None, 20%, 30%, 40% of cell area





I-V measurement of front and rear at STC for different rear shading fractions





- *I-V* measurement of front and rear at STC for different rear shading fractions
- Difference in  $\phi_{lsc}$  and  $\phi_{Pmpp}$  due to built-in rear shading





- *I-V* measurement of front and rear at STC for different rear shading fractions
- Difference in  $\phi_{lsc}$  and  $\phi_{Pmpp}$  due to built-in rear shading
- Further reduction of rear *I-V* curve near mpp by additional shading





- *I-V* measurement of front and rear at STC for different rear shading fractions
- Difference in  $\phi_{lsc}$  and  $\phi_{Pmpp}$  due to built-in rear shading
- Further reduction of rear *I-V* curve near mpp by additional shading
- $\rightarrow$  Reduction of  $\phi_{Pmpp}$ , consistency of  $\phi_{lsc}$

How does this affect the  $G_{E}$  methods?





**Single-sided illumination** (*G<sub>E</sub> method*):

**IEC TS**: Strongly affected by shading due to minimum criterion





**Single-sided illumination** (*G<sub>E</sub> method*):

IEC TS: Strongly affected by shading due to minimum criterion





#### **Single-sided illumination** ( $G_F$ method):

- **IEC TS**: Strongly affected by shading due to minimum criterion
- This study: Not affected by shading due to constancy of  $\phi_{lsc}$





#### **Single-sided illumination** (*G<sub>E</sub> method*):

- **IEC TS**: Strongly affected by shading due to minimum criterion
- <u>This study</u>: Not affected by shading due to constancy of  $\phi_{lsc}$

#### **Both-sided illumination** (*Bifacial method*):

- mpp not affected by shading for realistic measurement and shading scenarios
- Rear kinks superimposed by much larger front contribution





#### **Single-sided illumination** (*G<sub>E</sub> method*):

- IEC TS: Strongly affected by shading due to minimum criterion
- <u>This study</u>: Not affected by shading due to constancy of φ<sub>lsc</sub>

#### **Both-sided illumination** (*Bifacial method*):

- mpp not affected by shading for realistic measurement and shading scenarios
- Rear kinks superimposed by much larger front contribution
- Decrease in P<sub>max</sub> for higher rear contribution





#### **Single-sided illumination** (*G<sub>E</sub> method*):

- IEC TS: Strongly affected by shading due to minimum criterion
- <u>This study</u>: Not affected by shading due to constancy of φ<sub>lsc</sub>

#### **Both-sided illumination** (*Bifacial method*):

- mpp not affected by shading for realistic measurement and shading scenarios
- Rear kinks superimposed by much larger front contribution
- Decrease in P<sub>max</sub> for higher rear contribution





Difference between bifacial method and  $G_E$  methods





Difference between bifacial method and G<sub>F</sub> methods

- **<u>IEC TS</u>**: Difference between methods increasing with increasing rear shading fraction
- This study: Improved agreement between bifacial and  $G_{\rm E}$  methods





Difference between bifacial method and G<sub>F</sub> methods

- IEC TS: Difference between methods increasing with increasing rear shading fraction
- This study: Improved agreement between bifacial and  $G_{F}$  methods

Application of  $G_{\rm E}$  method using  $\phi_{\rm lsc}$ essential to correctly consider partial rear shading

For evaluation of parameter *BiFi* please see proceedings paper





Difference between bifacial method and G<sub>F</sub> methods

- IEC TS: Difference between methods increasing with increasing rear shading fraction
- This study: Improved agreement between bifacial and  $G_{F}$  methods

Application of  $G_{\rm E}$  method using  $\phi_{\rm lsc}$ essential to correctly consider partial rear shading

Criterion for applicability of  $G_{\rm E}$  method using  $\phi_{lsc}$  in proceedings paper





# AGENDA

- Introduction to IEC TS 60904-1-2
- Amendment Proposal 1
  - Motivation and Derivation
  - Evaluation: Partial Rear Shading
- Amendment Proposal 2
  - Motivation and Derivation
  - Evaluation: Low-Light Conditions
- Summary



### Amendment Proposal 2 Generalized Bifaciality Coefficients

#### Nonlinear bifacial solar cell

- Front and rear I<sub>sc</sub> and P<sub>mpp</sub> depend differently on irradiance
- → Bifaciality coefficients also depend on irradiance <sup>[1,2]</sup>





### Amendment Proposal 2 Generalized Bifaciality Coefficients

#### Nonlinear bifacial solar cell

- Front and rear I<sub>sc</sub> and P<sub>mpp</sub> depend differently on irradiance
- → Bifaciality coefficients also depend on irradiance <sup>[1,2]</sup>

#### Generalized bifaciality coefficients

Evaluation of  $\phi_{lsc}$  at irradiance of measurement

$$I_{sc} \text{ bifaciality:} \quad \varphi_{lsc}^{G} = \frac{I_{sc,rear}(G)}{I_{sc,front}(G)}$$





### **Amendment Proposal 2 Generalized Bifaciality Coefficients**

#### Amendment Proposal (2):

#### Application of generalized bifaciality coefficients evaluated at irradiance of measurement

- Amendment only for low-light conditions
- Similar to IEC TS for measurements at STC





#### Low-Light Performance of Nonlinear Bifacial Solar Device Results

- Simulation of different bifacial PERC solar cells by PC1D<sup>[1]</sup>
- Difference in  $P_{mppBiFi20\%}$  between  $G_E$  method and bifacial method determined for different front irradiance levels



[1] M. Rauer, 36<sup>th</sup> EUPVSEC, Marseille, 2019.



#### Low-Light Performance of Nonlinear Bifacial Solar Device Results

- Simulation of different bifacial PERC solar cells by PC1D<sup>[1]</sup>
- Difference in P<sub>mppBiFi20%</sub> between G<sub>E</sub> method and bifacial method determined for different front irradiance levels
- $\rightarrow$  <u>IEC TS:</u>  $G_{E}$  method overestimates power of bifacial device in low-light conditions
- → <u>This study</u>: Overestimation significantly less strong by generalized bifaciality coefficients

Application of  $\phi_{lsc}$  evaluated at  $G_{front}$ leads to better agreement between two methods in low-light conditions





#### Low-Light Performance of Nonlinear Bifacial Solar Device Results

- Simulation of different bifacial PERC solar cells by PC1D<sup>[1]</sup>
- Difference in P<sub>mppBiFi20%</sub> between G<sub>E</sub> method and bifacial method determined for different front irradiance levels
- $\rightarrow$  <u>IEC TS:</u>  $G_{E}$  method overestimates power of bifacial device in low-light conditions
- → <u>This study</u>: Overestimation significantly less strong by generalized bifaciality coefficients

For evaluation of parameter *BiFi* please see proceedings paper

For evaluation of linear PERC solar cell please see proceedings paper





62

#### Amendment Proposals Summary





- Comprehensive comparison of bifacial method and G<sub>E</sub> method as proposed by IEC TS 60904-1-2
- Analysis of bifaciality coefficients  $\phi_{lsc}$  and  $\phi_{Pmpp}$  as input parameters for calculation of  $G_E$

Proposal of amendments to IEC TS 60904-1-2:

- Application of  $\phi_{lsc}$  only for calculation of  $G_E$  and omission of minimum criterion
- Evaluation of bifaciality coefficients at the front irradiance of measurement

Evaluation of amendments: Partial rear shading and low-light conditions

- IEC TS 60904-1-2: Significant deviations between bifacial and G<sub>E</sub> methods
- <u>This study</u>: Considerably improved agreement between methods



## Thank you very much for your attention!

#### **Michael Rauer**

michael.rauer@ise.fraunhofer.de www.ise.fraunhofer.de



This project has received funding from the EMPIR programme cofinanced by the Participating States and from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme within the project "PV-Enerate" (number 16ENG02).



ative is co-funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and the EMPIR Participating States

Publications of Fraunhofer ISE at EU PVSEC 2020 available on: http://www.ise.fraunhofer.de/eupvsec2020



65

## Thank you very much for your attention!



Fraunhofer ISE CalLab PV Cells & CalLab PV Modules

 $\rightarrow$  Calibration services for research and industry

- $\rightarrow$  Measurements of all kinds of solar cells (single/multi junction)
- → Accredited as ISO 17025 DAkkS laboratory

