

Site characterisation and installation techniques to mitigate geological hazards: latest advancements in design methodologies, de-risking and cost-reduction Florian Meier, Fraunhofer IWES



Fraunhofer IWES

Institute for Wind Energy and Energy System Technology

Research topics, offshore site assessment, overview:

- -< Wind
- Waves
- Currents
- -< Ice
- Lightning
- Weathering
- -< Subsoil





Fraunhofer IWES

Institute for Wind Energy and Energy System Technology

Research topics, offshore site assessment, overview:

- -< Wind
- Waves
- Currents
- -< Ice
- Lightning
- Weathering
- i Subsoil





Subsoil assessment

Offshore of particular importance!

- ✓ Effective and focussed foundation assessment
- ✓ Risk mitigation
- \prec Reliability of wind turbines throughout whole lifetime
- ✓ Enhanced planning flexibility as early as possible





Subsoil assessment – available techniques

Geology (corings)

✓ Geological information, geotechnical parameters

Geotechnics (cone penetration tests (CPT))

✓ Geotechnical parameters

Geophysics (remote sensing: magnetics, bathymetry,

sidescan sonar seismics

- Spatial overview
- ✓ Joining all available data -> the whole picture

1D

1D

3D



Deficiency of 1D information

Geological and geotechnical investigations are of high importance and deliver crucial information, but are only 1D

✓ This can lead to wrong assumptions!







6

Recommended procedure

Join all information in a comprehensive 3D model



7

IWES

Acquisition of seismic data

Important decisions:

- Acquisition mode: single channel vs.
 multichannel
- Choice of signal source: Frequency range, signal shape





Comparison of single-channel and multichannel seismics











Influence of the seismic signal source: *resolution*



Influence of the seismic signal source: penetration depth





LOW Frequency signal source

Influence of the seismic signal source: signal shape





Single channel- vs. multichannel seismics



Single channel seismics



Multi channel seismics



VS.

Single channel- vs. multichannel seismics

Advantage of stacking – signal to noise ratio



Single channel- vs. multichannel seismics



Detection of inclined layers



Seismics for the offshore wind industry: standard method

Boomer single-channel seismics:

- -< Cheap
- ✓ Easy to apply
- Fast
- \prec No profound knowledge necessary





Boomer



Sophisticated subsoil investigation with multichannel seismics (MCS)

- → MCS is standard at hydrocarbon exploration (oil & gas) already for decades
- \prec In offshore subsoil assessment MCS is technology leader



Multichannel seismics

MCS is able to deliver high resolution images of subsoil conditions, reaching depths of up to several hundred meters





Standard method vs. multichannel seismics

BSH Standard: ,*Mandatory*: Signal penetration at least to the depth of the foundation base' !





Standard method vs. multichannel seismics

≺ Same profile, different method, North Sea



Boomer single-channel seismics



IWES multichannel seismics



Case study

a Fraunhof: a Fraunhofe ✓ Windfarm, Baltic Sea [1] 3911 m Fraunhofer Fraunhofer 5500 2 3 5 6 7 0.1000 GI-Gun multichannel seismics 0.1200 1200 0.1400

GI-Gun multichannel seismics



IWES multichannel seismics

Fraunhofer Multi-Channel System

- Specially designed for shallow water conditions (< 100m)</p>
- ✓ Fully digital (low noise)
- -< 96 channels high fold
- -< High sample rate (125 μs / 8 kHz)
- Specialized signal source (Micro! GI-Gun)

Compared to standard system (single channel seismics)

- Enhanced signal penetration (several 100m poss.);
 <u>guarantee to reach foundation depth!</u>
- High resolution (approx. 1m)
- High signal/noise ratio
- ✓ Visualization of steeper structures/inclined layers possible





21

Multichannel seismics









From 2D to 3D

- Combination of multiple seismic 2D profiles, together with geological information allows generation of 3D subsoil models
- \prec This allows to easily display the spatial location of different soil layers at any position





Comprehensive geolgocial subsoil model allows the generation of **interpolated soil profiles**:

- -< At any desired location
- Fast
- Cheap (possibility to reduce number of expensive corings)
- -< Flexible
- Always available
- ≺ No additional surveys needed

	WEA31	Positic	in UL	Position UR	Position LL	Position LR
lues from geol. model; TWT [ms]					
p layer (sands, muds)	36,4		36,5	36,5	36,2	36,3
acial till	42,3		43	41,8	42,3	42,8
etaceous sediments	51,7		52,2	52,4	51	51,2
culated thickness [m]		_				
ater column	26,8		26,9	26,9	26,7	26,8
p layer (sands, muds)	5,0		5,5	4,5	5,2	5,5
icial till	8,9		8,7	10,1	8,3	8,0
culated depth [m]						
n laver (sands muds)	26.9		26,9	26.9	26,7 NA	26,8
prayer (sanas, maas)	20,0					
acial till	31,9		32,4	31,4	31,9	32,3
icial till etaceous sediments	20,8 31,9 40,8		32,4 41,2	31,4 41,5	31,9 40,1	32,3 40,3 Confidence level (seismic)
WEA31	20,8 31,9 40,8 Position UL Posit	ion UR Position	32,4 41,2 LL Po:	31,4 41,5 sition LR	31,9 40,1	32,3 40,3 Confidence level (seismic) Top layer Good Till Good Cretaceous Good
WEA31	20,8 31,9 40,8 Position UL Posit	ion UR Position	32,4 41,2 LL Po:	31,4 41,5	31,9 40,1	32,3 40,3 Confidence level (seismic) Top layer Good Till Good Cretaceous Good
WEA31 0,0 5,0 10,0 26,8	20,9 2 26,9 2	6,9 26,7	32,4 41,2 LL Po:	31.4 41.5	31,9 40,1 Remarks	32,3 40,3 Confidence level (seismic) Top layer Good Till Good Cretaceous Good
WEA31 0,0 5,0 10,0 15,0 15,0 15,0 15,0 15,0	20,9 2 2	ion UR Position 6,9 26,7	32,4 41,2 LL Po:	31,4 41,5	31,9 40,1 Remarks	32,3 40,3 Confidence level (seismic) Top layer Good Till Good Cretaceous Good
WEA31 0,0 5,0 10,0 15,0 15,0 15,0 15,0 15,0	26,9 2	6,9 26,7	32,4 41,2 LL Po:	31,4 41,5	31,9 40,1 Remarks	32,3 40,3 Confidee level (seismic) Top layer Good Till Good Cretaceous Good
VEA31 0,0 5,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0	26,9 2	ion UR Position 5,9 26,7	32,4 41,2	31,4 41,5	31,9 40,1 Remarks	32,3 40,3 Confide level (seismic) Top layer Good Till Good Cretaceous Good
WEA31 WE	26,9 2 5,5	6,9 26,7	32,4 41,2 LL Po:	31,4 41,5	31,9 40,1 Remarks	32,3 40,3 Confidee level (sekinic) Top layer Good Till Good Cretaceous Good
WEA31 0,0 5,0 5,0 5,0 5,0 5,0 5,0 5,0 5,0 5,0	26,9 2 5,5 4 8,7 1	5.5 5.2 5.2 0.1 8.3	32,4 41,2	31,4 41,5 26,8 5,5 8,0	31,9 40,1	32,3 40,3 Confidence level (seismic) Top layer Good Till Good Cretaceous Good
WEA31 WEA31 0.0 5.0 26.8 25.0 25.0 35.0	200 31,9 40,8 Position UL Posit	6,9 26,7 55 5,2 0,1 8,3	32,4 41,2	31,4 41,5	31,9 40,1 Remarks	32,3 40,3 Conflexe level (sekinic) Top layer Good Till Good Cretaceous Good
wEA31 0.0 0.0 26,8 15.0 26,8 25.0 30,0 35.0 5,0 35.0 8,9 40,0 8,9	200 31.9 40,8 Position UL Position 25,9 2 5,5 4 8,7 1	5,9 26,7 5,5 5,2 26,7 5,5 5,2 1,1 8,3	32,4 41,2	31,4 41,5	31,9 40,1	32,3 40,3 Confleet level (sekimic) Top layer Good Till Good Cretaceous Good



Benefit

3D subsoil model based on a high-quality seismic survey allows:

- ✓ Fast, comprehensive overview
- Focussed planning of sites (Site optimization on basis of geological conditions (at an early stage))
- Optimized planning and/or reduction of expensive corings/CPT
- High flexibility throughout the whole planning phase, e.g. in case OWT locations are changed at later stages
- Avoidance of unpleasant surprises (risk mitigation)
- No further additional geophysical surveys needed at later project phases
- Easy and instantaneous generation of subsoil profiles at any position in the park







Future activities

Research project 'Seismik NordOst' (BMWi)

- ✓ Systematic research on the question: "How to use seismic investigations in a most effective way to address the needs of the offshore wind industry"
- Research areas: North Sea and Baltic Sea
- Cooperation partner: E.ON Climate and Renewables GmbH







- ✓ Reduce risks
- Safe money (very cheap, compared to corings)
- \prec Know as much, and <u>as early</u> as possible:
 - Full overview of whole windfarm gives great flexibility throughout the whole development process
 - Spatial knowledge allows **detailed planning** of OWT sites and **optimization** of expensive geological and geotechnical examinations





