

# **FRAUNHOFER INSTITUTE FOR INTEGRATED SYSTEMS AND DEVICE TECHNOLOGY IISB**

International Conference on Silicon Carbide and Related Materials, September 17<sup>th</sup> - 22<sup>th</sup> 2017, Washington, D.C., USA

## Influence of AI doping concentration and annealing parameters on TiAI based ohmic contacts on 4H-SiC

M. Kocher<sup>1, a</sup>, M. Rommel<sup>1, b</sup>, T. Erlbacher<sup>1, c</sup>, A. J. Bauer<sup>1, d</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Fraunhofer Institute for Integrated Systems and Device Technology (IISB), Schottkystrasse 10, 91058 Erlangen, Germany <sup>a</sup> Matthias.Kocher@iisb.fraunhofer.de, <sup>b</sup> Mathias.Rommel@iisb.fraunhofer.de, <sup>c</sup> Tobias.Erlbacher@iisb.fraunhofer.de, <sup>d</sup> Anton.Bauer@iisb.fraunhofer.de

Motivation	Γ	Sample preparation
<ul> <li>TiAl is on of the preferred metal stacks used to form ohmic contacts on p-doped SiC [1] and is known to grow a Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> layer directly on the SiC surface [1, 2]</li> <li>Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> is the key to achieve an ohmic contact behavior [2]</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Three 100 mm 4H-SiC wafers with epitaxial layer (6 μm; 10<sup>16</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>)</li> <li>p<sup>+</sup> front side implantation</li> <li>15 different Al box profiles with concentration ranging from 3.3·10<sup>18</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> to 5.0·10<sup>19</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup></li> </ul>

- Ohmic contacts on p-doped SiC are commonly verified on epitaxial layers, but ohmic contacts on Al implanted layers are technologically more relevant
- → Performing a DoE with different TLM structures [3] by varying Al concentrations and annealing conditions to investigate their influence on the ohmic behavior
- Developing a TCAD model in order to get a better understanding of Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> based ohmic contacts on Al implanted regions
- Three different high-temperature anneal plateaus in Ar atmosphere
  - 30 min @ 1700 °C; 30 min @ 1800 °C; 1 min @ 1900 °C
- Depositing 450 nm LPCVD passivation oxide
- Removing oxide in ohmic contact pad area, sputtering TiAl metal stack (60 nm/300 nm) and structuring via a lift-off process
- Forming ohmic contact via RTA (2 min; 980 °C)
- Depositing Al pads (500 nm) by sputtering and structuring via a lift-off process

#### **Electrical characterization**

- Measurement setup
  - Four wire (Kelvin) I-V measurement (voltage range: -10 V to 10 V (step: 0.1 V))
  - Measurement temperature: 300 K
- Measured resistance R<sub>meas</sub> of all TLM structures showed ohmic behavior
- Fig. 1 shows the specific contact resistances  $\rho_c$ 
  - ρ<sub>c</sub> decreases with increasing implanted doping concentration
  - Lowest ρ<sub>c</sub> for implantation annealing temperature of 1800 °C
- Fig. 2 shows the corresponding sheet resistance R<sub>sh</sub> of the implanted region
  - R<sub>sh</sub> decreases with increasing doping concentration and increasing implantation annealing temperature



#### Simulation model

- TCAD simulation model (Sentaurus)
- Three layer stack (SiC with Al implantation, Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub>, Al)
- Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub>–Al interface is modelled as an ideal ohmic contact
- Simulation results are exemplarily shown for three different samples A-C (highlighted in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2; see Tab. 1 for process parameters; see Tab.2 for simulation parameters)

**Simulation results** 

- Determination of R<sub>sim</sub> by using the simulated I-V curves
- Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub>-SiC interface is modelled as a Schottky contact
- Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> parameters
  - electrical conductivity (300 K): 4.6·10<sup>6</sup> (Ωm)<sup>-1</sup> [4]
  - Bandgap (300 K): 0.12eV [5]
- Introduction of three simulation parameters to take account of:
  - Carrier compensation: Al implantation scaling factor f<sub>imp</sub>
  - Tunneling parameters: effective density of states for holes N<sub>V</sub> (Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub>) and hole tunneling mass m<sub>t,h</sub> (SiC)



- Deviation between R<sub>sim</sub> and R<sub>meas</sub> sufficiently low (max. deviation: approx. ±5.5 %; see Tab. 3)
- Sample C showed that even for a hole concentration as low as 2.6·10<sup>17</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> ohmic contact behavior (see Tab. 2)
- N<sub>v</sub> is independent of the carrier concentration, while m<sub>t,h</sub> strongly depends on the carrier concentration
- Fig. 3a) and Fig. 4a) show the simulated band diagram of samples A and C (magnification to the right of each figure)
  - Both semiconductors (SiC and Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub>) show band bending at the Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub>-SiC interface
  - Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> degenerates to a metallic-like behavior and accumulates holes at the Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub>-SiC interface

Table 1: Process parameters									
Parameter	unit	sample A	sample B	sample C					
Impl. Al conc. N <sub>imp</sub>	10 <sup>19</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup>	5.0	5.0	0.33					
Impl. annealing		30 min @	30 min @	30 min @					
		1700 °C	1800 °C	1700 °C					
Table 2: Determined simulation parameters									
parameter	unit	sample A	sample B	sample C					
f <sub>imp</sub>	%	6.2	9.4	7.9					
f <sub>imp</sub> · N <sub>imp</sub>	10 <sup>17</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup>	31	47	2.6					
N <sub>V</sub>	10 <sup>18</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup>	8.5	8.5	8.5					
m <sub>t,h</sub>	10 <sup>-34</sup> kg	63.8	63.8	2.46					

Table 3: Comparison of measured and simulated resistances								
		d1	d2	d3	d4			
sample A	$R_{meas}$ [k $\Omega$ ]	9.70	21.3	44.1	88.7			
	R <sub>sim</sub> [kΩ]	9.69	20.9	43.4	88.4			
	deviation [%]	-0.08	-1.98	-1.65	-0.35			
sample B	$R_{meas}$ [k $\Omega$ ]	7.12	15.9	33.5	68.3			
	R <sub>sim</sub> [kΩ]	6.78	15.5	33.0	68.1			
	deviation [%]	-4.91	-2.49	-1.26	-0.40			
sample C	$R_{meas}$ [k $\Omega$ ]	76.2	159	334	690			
	R <sub>sim</sub> [kΩ]	80.4	161	333	681			
	deviation [%]	5.47	1.01	-0.14	-1.33			



 Formation of low ohmic contacts is possible on Al implanted layers, with a net doping as low as 3.10<sup>17</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>



- $\rightarrow$  Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> is a high potential material to form low ohmic contacts on Al implanted SiC layers
- A first model to simulate Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> contacts on Al implanted regions was implemented and verified on processed samples
- Tunneling parameters of Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> contacts were determined
- Further simulations with different Al concentrations and annealing conditions have to be done in order to improve the simulation parameters
- Further investigations have to be done in order to reduce the carrier compensation and thus improve the ohmic behavior

### References

- [1] T. Kimoto and J. A. Cooper, Fundamentals of silicon carbide technology: Growth, characterization, devices and applications. Singapore: Wiley IEEE, 2014.
- [2] Z. Wang et al., "Ohmic contacts on silicon carbide: The first monolayer and its electronic effect," Phys. Rev. B, vol. 80, no. 24, p. 245303, 2009.
- [3] D. K. Schroder, Semiconductor material and device characterization, 3rd ed. [Piscataway, NJ], Hoboken, N.J.: IEEE Press; Wiley, 2006.
- [4] T. Abi-Tannous et al., "A Study on the Temperature of Ohmic Contact to p-Type SiC Based on Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> Phase," IEEE Trans. Electron Devices, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 2462–2468, 2016.
- [5] H. Yu et al., "Thermal stability of Ni/Ti/Al ohmic contacts to p- type 4H-SiC," Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 117, no. 2, p. 25703, 2015.