

# Standardization of Meteorological Data from FINO Offshore Platforms


T. Leiding<sup>1</sup>, I. Bastigkeit<sup>2</sup>, F. Bégué<sup>3</sup>, L. Gates<sup>1</sup>, K. Herklotz<sup>4</sup>, S. Müller<sup>5</sup>, T. Neumann<sup>3</sup>, P. Schwenk<sup>6</sup>, C. Senet<sup>4</sup>, B. Tinz<sup>1</sup>, and F. Wilts<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Deutscher Wetterdienst, <sup>2</sup> Fraunhofer IWES, <sup>3</sup> DEWI GmbH, <sup>4</sup> Bundesamt für Schifffahrt und Hydrographie, <sup>5</sup> WIND-consult GmbH, <sup>6</sup> DNV GL

In order to investigate conditions for offshore wind power utilization in the German coastal areas, three research platforms were constructed in the North Sea (FINO1 and FINO3) and in the Baltic Sea (FINO2). To improve the comparison of the wind conditions measured at these platforms, the research project FINO-WIND is launched by Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD) and partners. The project will establish a consistent archive of standardized wind data of the platforms adjusted for local effects.

## Introduction

Measurement masts at each platform, which are either square or triangular shaped and have different boom constellations, are equipped with a range of meteorological sensors at heights of about 30 m to 100 m above sea level, depending on FINO mast. Standardized analysis and interpretation of the data is necessary to compare the results of the different platforms and will improve the knowledge of the marine ambient conditions at the three locations. Quality control and data representativeness of wind data from offshore platforms pose a special challenge as the measurements are subject to a number of local influences, as there are effects from measurement mast, wind parks, surface roughness, and tide. Moreover, standards like IEC can only be partly applied as some requirements do not cover the demands of offshore masts e.g. due to the wake of the structure. In the FINO-WIND project, therefore, a standardization method is developed. With the focus on wind data mast effects of all three masts are intensively investigated by comparison with remote sensing techniques as Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR), Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) calculations, the Uniform Ambient Mast flow (UAM)-method [1] and wind tunnel measurements. As a result of an overall evaluation of these analyses a correction for wind measurements of each mast shall be derived.



## Quality Control

The wind data (10-minute averages) of the masts are checked automatically with a comprehensive checking routine developed by Deutscher Wetterdienst. The quality control of the data is performed in a sequence of tests (Fig. 1): The routine starts with a formal check, followed by climatological, temporal, repetition, and consistency checks. After the successful completion of every sequence, the data are assigned standardized quality flags. Finally, platform characteristics are accounted for the local effects.

## Conclusions and Outlook

All of the derived mast corrections show the same mast distortion effects for each of the FINO masts with large wind speed reduction for the anemometers if downwind of the mast and slight wind speed reduction if upwind of the mast. The anemometers receive speed-up effects during lateral inflow. For each of the FINO masts one distinctive mast correction method with least uncertainties shall be identified to be applied to measured wind speeds in the future. As wind farms are being erected close to each FINO platform, wake field situations for each mast from existing and planned wind farms in the surroundings shall be investigated in order estimate these effects on measured wind speeds.

**References:** [1] A. Westerhellweg, T. Neumann, V. Riedel, FINO1 Mast Correction, DEWI Magazin, 2012.; [2] F. Wilts, B. Canadillas, F. Kinder, T. Neumann, CFD calculations of FINO1 mast effects, CEWE 2014, Hamburg.

## Estimations of external Effects

### CFD calculations – wind tunnel tests




Fig. 2: A 10-m-long segment of the FINO1 mast was modeled and simulated with OpenFoam®. Turbulence was considered with the use of k-ε Re-Normalisation group-model [2]. The ratio of the modeled inflow wind speed and the wind speed calculated at the cup-anemometer position is derived for every 10°-turning of mast. By comparing wind speed measurements of a simplified mast segment model in a wind tunnel with the CFD calculations of a simply modeled mast segment the CFD model is verified [Source: DEWI - UL International GmbH].

### LiDAR mast correction




Fig. 3: For a mast correction, based on LiDAR, is assumed that the LiDAR measurement is undisturbed. Datasets with one of the LiDAR beams downwind of the mast are removed, where a validated Leosphere Windcube was used. The mast correction function can be derived from the mean bin-wise quotient from LiDAR and cup wind speed measurements. The black dots display every single wind speed quotient, the red crosses reflect the bin average values. By interpolating the bin mean values correction factors for every wind direction can be derived. [Source: DNV GL]

### UAM correction




Fig. 4: Based on the assumption that the vertical profile of horizontal wind speed almost vanishes during unstable atmospheric conditions and that any deviation is due to mast flow distortion a mast correction can be derived. A logarithmic wind profile is calculated from measurements of the least disturbed wind direction sector during unstable conditions. This profile is applied to the top-anemometer measurement for any other wind direction during unstable conditions to calculate the undisturbed wind speed at every boom. The ratios of these calculated and measured wind speeds result in mast correction factors after bin wise averaging with regard to wind direction. [Source: DEWI - UL International GmbH]

## Results






Fig. 5-7 show the mast correction factors for each platform derived from different methods. While the factors for FINO1 and 2 are derived from LiDAR, CFD and UAM, the correction factors for FINO3 are obtained from the LiDAR method (dotted lines) and composed-WS method (solid lines). Assuming that data from different cup-anemometers, orientated in different directions, are available at one measurement level, the average of cups at two booms can be calculated in dependence of the inflow angle to compensate lowering and increasing flow distortion effects. [source: DEWI – UL International GmbH, DNV GL, WIND-consult]



## Acknowledgements

The FINO-WIND research programme is funded under the 'Wind Energy' Initiative of the German Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy and project management Projektträger Jülich for the period 2012 to 2015.



Federal Ministry  
for Economic Affairs  
and Energy



Deutscher Wetterdienst, Marine Climate Monitoring Centre  
Bernhard-Nocht-Straße 76, 20359 Hamburg, Germany  
Contact: Tina.Leiding@dwd.de  
FINO-WIND project webpage: [www.dwd.de/fino-wind](http://www.dwd.de/fino-wind)