## Modeling the rate-dependent inelastic deformation of porous polycrystalline silver films

29<sup>th</sup> European Symposium on Reliability of Electron Devices, Failure Physics and Analysis

03.10.2018

Sebastian A. Letz Azin Farooghian Flaviu B. Simon Andreas Schletz

Fraunhofer-Institute for Integrated Systems and Device Technology (IISB) Head: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Martin März

Schottkystr. 10 91058 Erlangen, Germany







#### Content

- Introduction
- Materials and methods
  - Sample preparation
  - Uniaxial loading experiments
  - General modeling strategy
  - Model parameterization
- Results and discussion
  - Creep experiments
  - Monotonic hardening experiments
  - Multiple-Hardening-Relaxation computations
- Summary, conclusions and next steps





#### Introduction

- Established interconnection material for die attachment in power electronics applications
- Still questions on manufacturing and design for lifetime estimations
  - Some experimental results on time dependence available in literature
  - No detailed discussion about modeling of the results
  - Application: different time and stress domains, complex loading situations
  - Internal porous structure → high internal stresses
- How to model the results for which application?



Fraunhofer

Slide 3

<sup>1</sup>Ref.: Maerz, M. et al.: Mechatronic Integration into Hybrid Powertrain – The Thermal Challenge, APEConference, 2006

- Sample preparation
  - Sinterpaste: Heraeus LTS043
  - Stencil printing of paste on Si substrate
  - Drying at 100°C for 30 min
  - Sintering in hot uniaxial-press
    - Temperature: 250°C
    - Time: 120 s
    - Pressure: 20 MPa
  - Laser cutting to dog bone shape

Final sample thickness: ca. 40 μm





Fig.: FIB-Cross section showing the microstructure of the investigated sintered silver specimens.



- Uniaxial loading experiments
  - Lloyd testing machine equipped with temperature chamber
  - Strain measurement: Limess Digital-Image-Correlation system
  - Monotonic hardening
    - Constant cross head speed
    - Temp.: 25°C, 150°C, 250°C
  - Creep loading
    - Const. load: 10 N, 12.5N, 15N
    - Temp.: 75°C, 125°C, 125°C



Fig.: Setup for monotonic hardening and creep loading experiments.



General modeling strategy: Basic equations and assumptions

Decomposition of total strain (small strain theory)

$$\varepsilon_{tot} = \varepsilon_e + \varepsilon_p + \varepsilon_{vp} = \varepsilon_e + \varepsilon_{in}$$

Stress depends only on plastic strain and plastic strain rate

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{p}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{p})$$

Elastic strain: Linear isotropic thermo-elasticity law

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\boldsymbol{e}} = \frac{1+\upsilon}{E}\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \frac{\upsilon}{E}Tr(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\mathbf{1} + \alpha\Theta\mathbf{1}$$



Slide 6

Sebastian Letz, 03.10.2018 © Fraunhofer IISB

General modeling strategy: Plasticity computation

Plastic strain by associative flow rule	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\boldsymbol{p}} = \dot{\lambda} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \right)$
Evolution of v. Mises yield surface by flow function	$f = J_2(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - R = 0$
lsotropic scalar hardening variable (Thermodynamic force)	$R = \rho\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial p}\right) = k(p)$
Accumulated plastic strain definition	$\dot{p} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{2}{3}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\boldsymbol{p}}:\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\boldsymbol{p}}\right)}$
Evolution of R with acc. plastic strain	$R(p) = \sigma_0 + Q_0 p + Q_\infty (1 - e^{-bp})$



#### General modeling strategy: Viscoplasticity computation

Viscoplastic strain by viscoplastic dissipation potential	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{p}} = \left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right)$
Combined inelastic treatment	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\boldsymbol{in}} = \lambda \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \right) + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}$
Evolution of hardening variable	$\dot{r} = -\lambda \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial R}\right) - \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial R}$

Garofalo stationary creep law	$\dot{\varepsilon}_{vp} = C_1[sinh(C_2\sigma)]^{C_3}e^{-\frac{C_4}{T}}$
Combined creep (transient + stationary)	$\varepsilon_{vp} = \frac{C_1 \sigma^{C_2} t^{C_3 + 1}}{(C_3 + 1)} e^{-\frac{C_4}{T}} + C_5 \sigma^{C_6} t e^{-\frac{C_7}{T}}$
Perzyna viscoplasticity equation	$\dot{\varepsilon}_{vp} = \gamma \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} \right) - 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{m}}$



- Model parameterization
  - Creep models: Extraction of secondary creep rates and stresses, linear fitting
  - Non-linear fitting (LM-algorithm) of primary creep
  - Perzyna model: Transformation into relaxation data  $\rightarrow \sigma = \sigma_0 | 1 + \sigma_0 |$



Global non-linear fitting of rearranged Perzyna model



Fig.: Parameterization of Norton stationary creep law.

Fig.: Parameterization of Perzyna viscoplasticity by relaxation data..



- Uniaxial creep loading experiments
  - Summary of all tests
  - Only primary and secondary creep
  - Missing elastic offset strain

- Hardening experiments and model predictions
  - Hardening deacreases with increasing temperature
  - At 225°C perfect plasticity
  - Good predicition at this strain rate in this strain regime



Fig.: Summary of uniaxial creep testing results.

Fig.: Monotonic hardening experiment results and model predictions.



- Multiple-Hardening-Relaxation (MHR) computations: Results overview
  - Hardening: const. displacement rates: 1E-03 s<sup>-1</sup>, 2E-03 s<sup>-1</sup>, and 3E-03 s<sup>-1</sup>
  - Hardening segments are followed by holding segments for 100 s
  - Creep models show the same trend during relaxation
  - Faster relaxation response for Perzyna model



Multiple hardening computations: Transfomed Stress-Strain data

- Unequal hardening characteristic of Perzyna and creep models
- Only for higher strain rates and temperatures
- Creep models converge to static plastic solution





Fig.: Transformed stress-strain data of MHR computation at 25°C.



- Multiple hardening computations: The creep models
  - Stress dependency less pronounced at higher temperature
  - Relaxation is less pronounced at higher Temp., reversely expected!
  - Reason: Computation scheme for plastic and creep strains
  - Lower temp.: Plasticity law yields low strains for high stresses. Impact of viscous strain is high.
  - Higher temp.: Instantaneous plasticity yields high strains at relatively low stresses.
    Viscous strains are small and plasticity is predominant.



Fig.: Strain decomposition for Multiple-Hardening-Relaxation computations.



Multiple hardening computations: The Perzyna model

- Different response compared to creep models
- Tight database for the parameterization
- Inelastic strain is obtained by a combined single viscoplastic potential

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{n}} = \frac{\partial \Omega_{\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{p}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}$$

Accumulated viscoplastic strain rate yields the inelastic strain rate

$$\dot{r} = -\frac{\partial \Omega_{vp}}{\partial R} = \gamma \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0 + Q_0 r + Q_\infty (1 - e^{-br})} \right) - 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

- Hardening influences plastic strain rate, creep strain is calculated independently from the plastic strain
- Multiplicative connection between plasticity and viscoplasticity could lead to a much stronger interaction compared to additive creep analysis



#### Summary, conclusions and next steps

- Creep and monotonic hardening tests at different conditions were conducted on sintered silver films
- Three approaches to model the rate-dependency + instantaneous plastic strain were considered
- Different load scenarios were computed and compared
- The hardening experiments were correctly predicted by all models
- For multiple-hardening-relaxation computation, large differences between the Perzyna and the creep models were observed
- Multiplicative coupling of the viscous effect and the static plasticity yields a strong interaction and a higher sensitivity on the model parameters
- Next steps (ongoing):
  - Conduct MHR-Tests in different time and stress domains
  - Compare model predictions with experimental results and determine the domain of validity for each model for sintered silver layers



# Thank you for your attention!

Sebastian Letz sebastian.letz@iisb.fraunhofer.de Fraunhofer-Institute for Integrated Systems and Device Technology Schottkystraße 10 • 91058 Erlangen • Tel. 09131 / 761-619 www.iisb.fraunhofer.de





Slide 16

Sebastian Letz, 03.10.2018 © Fraunhofer IISB