# USE CASES OF LORAWAN SOLUTIONS IN PRODUCTION AND LOGISTICS

RFID and Wireless IoT tomorrow // Darmstadt, Oktober 30, 2018





# USE CASES OF LORAWAN SOLUTIONS IN PRODUCTION AND LOGISTICS

#### Fraunhofer IFF

- Comparison of LPWAN technologies
- Use Case Airport
- Use Case Production Plant
- Use Case Building Company
- General Findings
- R&D Priorities



## Fraunhofer IFF On one slide

- The Fraunhofer Institute for Factory Operation and Automation IFF in Magdeburg was founded in 1992
- Today it is one of more than 70
  Fraunhofer Institutes in Germany
  - IFF is part of the Fraunhofer Cluster Production
- At IFF almost 200 employees are researching and developing reliable technologies and solutions for efficient, sustainable and interconnected manufacturing







3

### **Comparison of LPWAN Technolgies Overview**

- LPWAN (Low Power Wide Area Network) as suitable technologies to transmit small data over large distances with low energy consumption and low costs
- $\rightarrow$  Suitable connectivity technology for IoT applications in production and logistics.



LPWAN technologies are not suitable for applications with requirements towards high bandwidth and low latency (real-time capabilities)!



## Comparison of LPWAN Technolgies LoRaWAN, Sigfox and NB-IoT

LoRaWAN and Sigfox are the most established LPWAN technologies in Germany – NB-IoT is expected to provide wide coverage

	LoRaWAN	Sigfox	NB-IoT
Frequency Band	ISM (unlicensed)	ISM (unlicensed)	licensed
Message Payload	max. 243 Byte	max. 12 Byte	max. 1.600 Byte
Massages / Day * Device	limited by duty cycle	max. 140 Messages	no limit
Communication	bi-directional	mainly uni-directional	bi-directional
Network Service Provider	local private networks possible	Sigfox	NSPs
Coverage	Local / Campus	good	expected very good
Availability	yes	yes	low
	Choice for R&D IoT pilot projects		



#### Use Case Airport Overview

- Ground Support Equipment (GSE) is required for most of the Processes on an Airport's Apron but often not used efficiently!
  - hundreds or even thousands of Equipment many of them non-motorized (meaning without energy supply)
  - at major Cargo Hubs usually > 1,000 GSEs to be managed
  - No automated Localisation of GSE
  - No automated Monitoring of Equipment Status (e.g. Dolly loaded / available)
- High efforts for searching equipment and keeping track of its status
- $\checkmark$  No efficient Process Planning possible  $\rightarrow$  leading to inefficient usage of GSE
- b No automated Process Monitoring available
- In the Past conventional Track+Trace and Monitoring Technologies were technically and economically not feasible for a GSE Tracking Solutions!



Tug towing four Dollies loaded with ULDs



Dolly with Castor Deck



## **Use Case Airport Solution Development**

- The whole apron area was covered by one LoRaWAN-Gateway
- Tracking Module (GNSS + IPS) and inductive Load Sensors were developed
- 30 Dollies equipped with GSE Tracker and Load Sensors
- Testing in productive Process Environment
  - Singular Test for Accuracy of Localisation, Load Detection etc.
  - Longterm Monitoring in Hub Processes
- Different Message Types were used to monitor Processes
  - e.g. Change of Load Status
  - e.g. last Location before Sleep
  - Permanent Tracking as Test Mode

offen



The Data of the Tracking Devices are forwarded to an Application Server (IoT Engine) for Device Management and Status Monitoring





#### Use Case Airport Test Results

- Good coverage and connectivity provided by just one gateway
  - mainly SF7 SF9
  - → more Gateways required for scale up (depending on actual Number of Devices and Messages)
- Load Sensors provide robust Information about Load Changes
- Limits of LoRaWAN Uplink were evaluated
  - → High Frequency of Uplink Messages critical for Battery Capacity and Message Collision Rate
  - ightarrow Uplink Latency is limiting Real Time Functionalities
- Profiles for optimized Usage of Trackers were developed

 $\rightarrow$  Energy Consumption + Message Rate vs. actual Needs + Desires





## Use Case Production Plant Overview and Test Setup

- Test-Setups in two Production Plants for Tracking of Assets and Load Carriers
  - Mapping of LoRaWAN Connectivity at the Sites and their Surrounding (with Suppliers)
  - Evaluation and Comparison of different Market-available LoRaWAN Tracking Devices (GNSS + IPS)
- Demonstration of LPWAN Functionalities for Development of IoT Strategy in Production and Logistics Processes
- Per Site 3 LoRaWAN Gateways were installed
  - ightarrow Testing the Connectivity in the Surrounding of the Sites
  - ightarrow Testing the indoor Coverage
- Evaluation of Usability, Data Quality and potential Benefits



Exemplary Simulation of the Range of an installed LoRaWAN Gateway (based on surrounding Topography)



теп

#### **Use Case Production Plant Test Results**



Achieved Range with LoRaWAN Gateways at Site

Indoor and Outdoor Connectivity at Site



© Fraunhofer IFF

10

Latitude

### Use Case Production Plant Test Results

- LoRaWAN enables Visibility in Logistics Processes (see on right for exemplary for a single Load Carrier)
- LoRaWAN is feasible to cover Production Sites (indoors + outdoors) with low Investment
- Network and Trackers offer Potential for easy retrofitting
- Indoor Positioning is crucial for many Processes





## Use Case Construction Company Overview and Test Setup

- Construction Companies need to keep Track of their mobile Equipment and Assets
  - Position and State of Skip Containers
  - Containers distributed over large Areas
  - Containers without own Energy Supply
  - → Evaluation of LoRaWAN for energy and cost efficient Tracking of Skip Containers
- Case Study with a Construction Company from the Berlin Area
  - 6 Containers of different Types equipped with Market-available LoRaWAN-Trackers
  - 8 Weeks of Container Movements evaluated
  - 19 Construction Sites in and around Berlin
  - Use of established LoRaWAN Networks of NSPs Digimondo and Telent





#### **Use Case Construction Company Test Results**



Evaluation of LoRaWAN Connectivity in and around Berlin

Exemplary Tracking of a single Container



13 © Fraunhofer IFF

#### Use Case Construction Company Test Results

- Activity Monitoring helps to optimize the Management and Usage of Equipment
  - current Location and State for optimized Planning and Scheduling
  - e.g. Reduction of Searching and Waiting Times
- LoRaWAN Trackers feasible for long-term Usage and low Maintenance Efforts
  - Trackers physically robust
  - Iow Energy Consumption (at low Event Rate)
  - good and reliable Localization
  - good Connectivity when Infrastructure is given → setup of additional Gateways may be required, as LoRaWAN does yet not provide seamless Coverage







#### General Findings LPWAN Usage in Use Cases

LPWAN Solutions need to be tailored for individual Use Cases

- → different LPWAN Technologies will be relevant for different Types of Use Case
- $\rightarrow\,$  for Use Cases requiring good Network Coverage Sigfox and potentially NB-IoT are more feasible than LoRaWAN
- $\rightarrow$  LoRaWAN offers Potentials for private operated Networks
- LPWANs can not fulfill *lloT* Requirements for minimal Latency and maximum QoS
- Focus of Fraunhofer IFF are Use Cases and Applications with mobile Objects in Production and Logistics with their specific Requirements
  - e.g. Objects highly mobile / Process Chains covering large Areas / heterogenuous environmental Conditions along Process Chains / high Cost Pressure (esp. in Logistics) / Availability of Power Supply





## **R&D** Priorities Fraunhofer IFF as your R&D Partner for IoT Application

#### **Technology Selection**

- Analysis of Process Requirements
- Selection of appropriate Technologies (Connectivity, Sensor, Localization)
- Valuation of Technical Feasibility, **Costs and Benefit Potentials**
- Feasibility Tests in Practical Applications
- R&D for scale up of IoT Applications (e.g. Number and Density of Devices + Gateways)

#### Data Interpretation

- Configuration of IoT Devices to provide custom-fit Data
- Aggregation and Interpretation of Data to derive Added Value
- Intuitive Visualization of IoT Data
- Consulting for Selection of Integration Platforms

#### **Energy Management**

- Evaluation of Energy Consumption and Configuration of IoT Devices for energy-optimized Operation
- R&D for Energy Harvesting in **IoT Devices** 
  - (e.q. MagnicloT  $\rightarrow$  meet us at our Booth)





16

## Thank you for your attention!

Contact: Olaf Poenicke olaf.poenicke@iff.fraunhofer.de +49 391 4090 337



offen

17