OBERFLÄCHEN UND BESCHICHTUNG ADDITIV GEFERTIGTER POLYMERTEILE

Andreas Holländer Patrick Cosemans



IAP



driving industry by technology



IGF-15/09 (EFDS) 166 EBR (AIF) IWT.150827 (VLAIO)

Outline







Additive Manufacturing





Selective laser sintering (SLS)

materials

• PA12

• PA11, TPU



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Selective_laser_sintering



Stereo lithography (SLA)

materials

• light curable resins epoxy, acrylic ...



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stereolithography





Photopolymer jetting (PJ, MJ)

also known as material jetting (MJ), polyjet modeling, multijet modeling, polyjetting, multijetting, jetted photopolymer

materials

• UV curable resins



https://www.additively.com/en/learn-about/photopolymer-jetting





Surface Analysis





Homogeneity of PA12 sheets (SLS)

- sheet 10 cm x 10 cm
- advancing water contact angles, [°]
- cleaned with water, i-PrOH

original			cleaned		
130.0	110.7	117.3	114.0	113.0	101.6
130.0	114.0	113.0	111.9	115.0	116.8
122.0	103.3	108.1	108.0	114.5	108.6
109.0	105.0	120.4	105.1	115.0	121.0
av	115.2		av	112.0	
av. dev.	7.3		av. dev.	4.2	





PA12 sheets (SLS), XPS

elemental concentrations

PA12 [C] = 85.7 at% [O] = 7.1 at% [N] = 7.1 at%

	[C]	[N]	[O]
position	at%	at%	at%
top 1	83.4	6.9	9.7
top 2	84.0	6.0	10.1
top 3	84.1	4.6	11.4
average:	83.8	5.8	10.4
av. dev.:	0.3	0.8	0.7
back 1	83.3	4.7	12.0
back 2	83.3	6.1	10.6
back 3	84.3	5.0	10.7
average:	83.6	5.3	11.1
av. dev.:	0.4	0.6	0.6





PA12 sheets, XPS high resolution data







Epoxy resin sheets (SLA), XPS

elemental concentrations (Accura Clearvue)

	[C]	[0]	[F]	[Ca]	[CI]	[Sb]
position	at%	at%	at%	at%	at%	at%
top 1	68.0	26.0	3.4	1.0	0.7	0.9
top 2	68.0	25.9	3.4	1.1	0.8	0.8
top 3	69.7	25.4	2.9	1.2	0.4	0.5
top 4	70.5	26.0	1.6	0.3	0.8	0.8
top 5	70.6	26.7	1.4	0.2	0.4	0.6
top 6	71.2	26.2	1.2	0.5	0.4	0.5
average:	69.7	26.0	2.3	0.7	0.6	0.7
av. dev.:	1.1	0.3	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.1
back 1	72.6	24.4	1.5	0.9	0.6	
back 2	73.0	23.6	1.7	1.0	0.7	
back 3	71.0	25.6	1.8	1.6	0.0	
back 4	68.7	27.3	2.6	1.1	0.4	
average:	71.3	25.2	1.9	1.1	0.4	
av. dev.:	1.5	1.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	





Epoxy resin sheets (SLA), XPS high resolution data





C1s









Acrylic resin sheets (MJ), XPS

elemental concentrations (Accura VeroBlack)

	[C]	[N]	[0]	[Ca]
position	at%	at%	at%	at%
top 1	74.3	2.6	22.5	0.6
top 2	73.9	3.0	22.5	0.6
top 3	74.4	3.3	21.7	0.7
top 4	74.1	3.3	21.0	0.7
top 5	73.1	3.5	22.5	0.9
top 6	73.6	3.2	22.5	0.7
average:	73.9	3.1	22.1	0.7
av. dev.:	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.1
back 1	74.3	3.7	21.4	0.6
back 2	73.9	3.3	22.0	0.8
back 3	73.4	3.5	22.4	0.8
back 4	73.7	1.5	24.3	0.6
average:	73.8	3.0	22.5	0.7
av. dev.:	0.3	0.8	0.9	0.1





Acrylic resin sheets (MJ), XPS high resolution data







Activation





Pore penetration ability of activation processes





Analysis of penetration

A. Holländer PSE 2004 Surface and Coatings Technology, 200/1-4, p. 561–564 (2005)

dye staining

• oxidized polymer surface binds poly(ethylene imine)







Tropaeolin stain – pore sizes



5 mm

© Fraunhofer, Sirris 2019, Andreas Holländer, Patrick Cosemans



10 sccm O₂, 13 Pa, 20 W RF, PEI plasma treatment time, [NH_x]







Plasma activation





Homogeneity of PA sheets (SLS)

advancing water contact angles [°]

cleaned			plasma t	reated		plasma t	reated +	1 week
114.0	113.0	101.6	12.0	8.0	20.6	47.3	44.2	30.5
111.9	115.0	116.8	0.0	10.0	25.6	48.0	35.2	30.9
108.0	114.5	108.6	11.0	14.9	11.0	46.5	37.4	33.9
105.1	115.0	121.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	38.2	42.6	39.7
av	112.0		av	11.2		av	39.5	
av. dev.	4.2		av. dev.	6.8		av. dev.	5.2	







Plasma treated PA sheets, water



page 21





Plasma treatment of PA sheets

• RF plasma with 20 sccm O₂

plasma		XPS					XPS after 10 d						CAG			
Р	t	С		N	C	P	Si	C		N	0	Р	Si	1 h		10 d
W	S	at%		at%	at%	at%	at%	at%		at%	at%	at%	at%	[°]		[°]
		83.3		5.6	9.7	0.6	0.8	81.4		6.0	11.3	0.6	0.8	102.0		
20	2	69.2		6.3	22.6	1.5	0.5	74.3		6.9	17.2	0.6	1.0	12.0		31.7
20	30	65.9		5.9	25.0	2.0	1.2	70.0		5.9	21.8	1.1	1.2	17.0		34.8
50	2	73.2		5.8	19.6	1.0	0.4	74.3		6.3	18.5	0.4	0.5	16.7		34.4
50	30	68.4		6.4	22.4	1.3	1.6	69.1		6.4	21.8	0.9	1.8	0.0		23.9





Epoxy resin (SLA), XPS





Activation by µ-flames

page 24





Micro-flame treatment

- gas supply via capillary
- spark ignition
- movable









Pulsed flame treatment, tropaeolin stain

- sintered PE cylinders
 - left 80 µm
 - right 40 µm
- treated on circular side

pictures:

- top: cut cylinder
- bottom left: side view
- bottom right: treated side



acetylene/ oxygen 10 pulses

© Fraunhofer, Sirris 2019, Andreas Holländer, Patrick Cosemans





Flame treatment PA sheets

flame	XPS				
pulses	C	Ν	0	Р	Si
	at%	at%	at%	at%	at%
	83.3	5.6	9.7	0.6	0.8
1	76.5	6.5	16.0	0.6	0.3
2	72.8	6.6	19.6	0.6	0.5
5	70.3	6.7	22.0	0.5	0.6

• Butane/ oxygen micro-flame pulses







PA sheets, XPS high resolution data









Smoothing by lacquering





Selection of coatings

Different types of coatings tested:

- UV curable high solid coating
- Polyester gel coating
- Polyurethane clearcoat
- Polysilazane coating
- Best results with high solid coating and polyester gel coating





Comparison roughness change – PA12 (SLS)







Comparison roughness change – acrylic resin (MJ)







Use of high build filler/primer - SLS

- 1 layer ~ 35 μm
- Several layers required to reduce initial roughness
- reduction by lacquering to 20 μm (Rz), 4 μm (Ra)
- lacquering+polishing
 Rz ~ 5 μm or Ra < 1 μm











Use of high build filler/primer - SLA

- 1 layer ~ 35 μm
- Several layers required to reduce initial roughness
- Line structure still visible under certain angles









3D SLS test part: finishing using spray coating





2 spray + topcoat Ra=0.05, Rz=0.6, Pt=2.3

page 35





3D SLS part: finishing using dip coating

- Printing technique: Promaker P1000
- Material PA12
- 2K acrylic primer (40% thinner)
- Manual dipping 1 layer
- Topcoat









3D SLS part: finishing using dip coating and Physical Vapour Deposition (PVD)

- Vibrofinish / sandblasted samples
- 2K acrylic primer + 20% thinner
- Manual dipping 1 layer
- No manual polishing
- PVD Cr Coating (5 min.)

	Ra	Rz
sandblasted	17,5	138,0
sandblasted + primer	0,8	4,6
vibrofinish	10,3	94,6
vibrofinish +primer	0,9	5,4



Sandblasted + primer

R	LC	GS	0.800	MM	UER	25.00	YM	HOR	0.800	MM									
21. C							32												
											1.4.6.4.4								
÷.										343									
										100									
							÷			1.0									
	-	-		m		Provent and			-	-	summer and		***				-		s
							÷												
Same	eren eren eren eren eren eren eren eren	cases a						100000000000000000000000000000000000000		1.1.1.1.1.1					10.000	10.0	10.000		×
							- C			1.0				- 2					
														*					
ine a p	in a second	1000		in a comp	e servere a	 ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	÷	carror pro es-			0.000	0.000	0.000	eren di	1000	en en	1000	10 M T	a an

Vibrofinish

P	ų	ER 25.00 YM	HOR 0.800 MM		
÷	3		÷		
	eredeta ese				
**** 200		123	()	1.00	

www		1 min h	1	N V	mon
	the second	man	the second second	Val	· · · · · · · ·
			man - i a		121
	CAR MARKS NAME				
					1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1
£[*		

Vibrofinish + primer

1999 - 1999 -					
	-	12		G	
COMPANY OF A 199					10000
	*			The second se	
min him	in internet			in the second se	2000
					- may
		a here here a series of the series of	a to a set a transmission of the set of the		
	*		*	*	

				18	
P		VER 25.00 YM	HOR 0.800 MM		









Metallization





Metallization

wh	у?
----	----

procedure

additional functionalization

- test smoothing ability of galvanic plating
- with as-prepared parts and lacquered parts
- surface treatment with low-pressure plasma
- Pd activation
- electroless plating of nickel
- galvanic plating of copper (atotech)

test

- cross-cut test of Ni plated parts
 - no failure on pristine side and on lacquer
- peel test after Cu plating





Metallization of sheets









Ni plated SLS-PA, back side & lacquered side



page 41





Ni plated SLS-PA, lacquered and polished







SLS-PA, lacquered, Ni plated, Cu plated

- left not lacquered
- 120 µm Cu







Peel test of Cu plated SLS-PA

- 90° peel test with 1 cm strips
- > 4 N/ cm
- cohesive failure in lacquer











SLS-PA, Ni plated







SLS-PA, lacquered, Ni plated







Conclusions

page 47





Comparison of the effect of different techniques applied on roughness Rz







Conclusions

- surfaces of 3D printed parts (as investigated in the project)
 - heterogeneous
 - contaminated
- surfaces seem to be well suited for coatings
- plasma can penetrate deeply into printed structures
- lacquering easily closes pores
- lacquering results in smoother surface
- level of smoothing limited
 - combination of different techniques (lacquering, polishing)







Vision







Thanks to

Fraunhofer IAP: Katrin Fink Bert Fischer Stefan Kröpke Rainer Rihm

Sirris: Julien Magnien Jacky Lecomte Joey Bosmans

Atotech GmbH: Rafael Szamocki



IGF-15/09 (EFDS) 166 EBR (AIF) IWT.150827 (VLAIO)

Forschungsnetzwerk Mittelstand Gefördert durch:



Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Energie

aufgrund eines Beschlusses des Deutschen Bundestages





